

5-30-2012

Strategies to Assess Large Classes

Carol Kominski

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.hsc.unt.edu/test_items

Part of the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#), [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#), [Higher Education Administration Commons](#), and the [Other Teacher Education and Professional Development Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kominski, Carol, "Strategies to Assess Large Classes" (2012). *Test Item Writing*. 11.
https://digitalcommons.hsc.unt.edu/test_items/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Assessment at UNTHSC Scholarly Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Test Item Writing by an authorized administrator of UNTHSC Scholarly Repository. For more information, please contact Tom.Lyons@unthsc.edu.




Strategies to Assess Large Classes

Carol Kominski, Ph.D.
Assessment Specialist
Center for Learning and
Development



Learning Outcomes

- Understand the differences between formative, summative, and blended assessment.
- Select techniques for formative assessment in large classes even when MCQs are the primary summative assessment.



Assessment Types

- Formative
- Summative
- Blended



Formative Assessment

- Provides feedback
- Facilitates course corrections
- Lets students focus efforts
- Does not require test security
- Examples
 - Course exam items discussed in class
 - Comments and suggestions for improvement on written products
 - Peer review of presentations



Summative Assessment

- Provides course grades
- Certifies competency
- Determines passage to next level
- Usually necessitates test item security
- Examples
 - Midterm and final examinations
 - Term papers
 - Professional board exams



Blended Assessment: The Best of Both Worlds

- Formative assessments
 - Prepare for and model summative assessments.
 - Improve summative assessment performance.
 - Reveal strengths and weaknesses to both students and instructors.
- Summative assessments
 - Present no surprises because they're similar to formative assessments.
 - Can provide feedback to instructor on class strengths and weaknesses.
 - Typically conceal details from students because of test security needs.



Seven Principles of Good Feedback Practice

- Provides clear goals, criteria, and standards
- Facilitates reflection
- Delivers high quality information to students
- Encourages teacher/peer discussion re. learning
- Encourages positive motivation and self-esteem
- Provides opportunities to improve performance
- Provides teacher with information to reshape teaching

Source: Nicol, D.J. & MacFarlane, Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31, 2, 199-218.

So how in the world does one do formative assessment
AND provide feedback to large classes?



Ideas for Providing Feedback When Multiple Choice Tests Are the Norm (1)


- Student construction of MCQs with peer feedback
- Confidence marking
- Peer instruction and interaction
- Low stakes frequent online MCQs with immediate feedback to student and instructor

Source: Nico, David. (2007). E-assessment by design: using multiple choice test to good effect. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 31, 1, 53-64.

Ideas for Providing Feedback When Multiple Choice Questions Are the Norm (2)

- Ask students to
 - Give reasons for MCQ responses.
 - Give pros and cons for each option rather than pick just one.
 - Indicate degree of confidence in answer given.
 - Create MCQs as part of presentations using EVS.
 - Create MCQs for use in tests.
- Use brain-teasers for peer discussion using electronic voting systems (EVS).

Source: Draper, S.W. (2009) Catalytic assessment: understanding how MCQs and EVS can foster deep learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40, 2, 285-293.



Student Constructed MCQs

- Students are likely to think deeply and meaningfully about material. In other words...
 - Students need to have clear understanding of content.
 - Students need to explain solution to other students so they must be able to express concepts clearly.
 - Having to think of alternatives makes them think of incomplete knowledge and plausible errors.

Source: Reilly, A.L. and Denny, Paul. (2010) Constructive evaluation: a pedagogy of student-contributed assessment. *Computer Science Education*, 20, 2, 145-167.

PeerWise: Support System for Student MCQs

<http://peerwise.cs.auckland.ac.nz/>

“supports students in the creation, sharing, evaluation, and discussion of assessment questions.”

Confidence-Based Marking aka Certainty-Based Marking

- Students are more likely to go beyond superficial and think deeply by....
 - Engaging in self-assessment.
 - Receiving rewards for correct answers of which they are justifiably confident.
 - Receiving penalties for incorrect answers which they say they are confident are correct.

Source: Gardner-Medwin, A.R. (2006) Confidence-Based Marking – towards deeper learning and better exams in C. Bryan & K. Clegg (Eds.) *Innovative assessment in higher education* (London, Taylor, & Francis).



More about Confidence/Certainty-Based/Marking

<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/lapt/>

Site links to lots of questions related to confidence-based marking, especially in medically-related areas. See below.

<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/lapt/laptlite/sys/options.htm>

Peer Instruction with Electronic Voting System (EVS)

- Concept question given to whole class.
- Students take 1-2 minutes to answer individually.
- Students see how class has answered but are not shown correct answer.
- Students engage in peer instruction to convince neighbors that his/her answer is correct.
- Students have opportunity to revise answers to question.
- Students see how class has answered.
- Instructor summarizes and explains “correct” response.

Sources: Mazur, E. (1997) *Peer instruction: a user's manual* (Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice Hall).

Crouch, C.H. & Mazur, E. (2001) Peer instruction: ten years of experience and results, *American Journal of Physics*, 69, 970-77.



More on Peer Instruction

The Re-engineering Assessment Practices in Scottish Higher Education (REAP Project) is a large project and this page links to some excellent resources. Peer instruction and interaction is a big focus.

<http://www.reap.ac.uk/reap/resourcesEVS.html>

Class-wide Discussion with Electronic Voting System (EVS)

- Concept question given to whole class.
- Small groups discuss the concept question for 3-5 minutes.
- Students provide individual or group responses.
- Students see how individuals or groups have responded.
- Students explain answers in a class-wide discussion and listen to others' explanations.
- Instructor summarizes and explains “correct” response.

Source: Dufresne, R.J., Gerace, W.J., Leonard, W.J., Mestre, J.P., & Wenk, L. (1996) Classtalk: a classroom communication system for active learning, *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 7, 3-47.



Frequent online self-testing

- Make available throughout course term, e.g., 5 per term staggered over 2-3 week period.
- Relate material to material covered since last test.
- Provide immediate feedback to students on each question.
- Provide data to instructor on student performance to enable “course correction.”

Source: Bull, J. & Danson, M. (2004) Computer assisted assessment (CAA)
York, Learning and Teaching Support Network.



More Support Needed

- Contact Center for Learning and Development (CLD) for
 - Assessment Design (Carol Kominski Ext. 2942)
 - Instructional Design (Kun Huang Ext. 2941)
 - Blackboard (AZ Basset Ext. 2943)
 - Psychometric analysis (Kevin Kalinowski Ext. 2543)
 - Other support (Director Vanneise Collins Ext. 5056)